

### Remarks

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs. In response, Applicant has amended the claims to fully comply with the Examiner's teaching as follows.

In line 4 of Claim 1, "...*the* upper portion..." has been amended to "...*an* upper portion..." since 'upper portion' lacks antecedent basis.

At the end of Claim 1, "during the pulling of the back support towards the seat" has been added to clarify 'low speed' and 'slow-downer' and to comply with the enablement requirement.

In line 4 of Claim 5, "...*the* fixing unit..." has been amended to "...*a* fixing unit ..." since 'fixing unit' lacks antecedent basis.

Line 3 of Claim 7 has been amended to read "...a magnet or magnetic body which is installed in ~~opposition to each other in both~~ the seal and the back support, respectively..." to clarify what is claimed and eliminate grammatical vagueness.

In line 3 of Claim 10, "...*the* inner surface..." has been amended to "...*an* inner surface ..." since 'inner surface' lacks antecedent basis.

Applicant has amended Claims 1, 5, 7 and 10 to thoroughly reflect the Examiner's teaching and suggestions. Other claims each depend from one of the amended claims and thus remain unchanged. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner pass pending claims 1-10, *if required, under Examiner's Amendment*, to allowance

Respectively submitted,

Date: September 19, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James E. Bame  
Regis. No. 44521  
Tel: 213-384-7200  
IPLA P.A.  
3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl.  
Los Angeles, CA 90010